# LLMs effectively capture cultural, technical, and contextual nuances for rabies education in Sepedi.

# **Exploring Zoonotic Disease Knowledge Through** Al for Enhanced Risk, Prevention, and Response Awareness in Low-Resource Languages

Reolin Govender, Armand de Wet

#### INTRO

Rabies awareness remains limited in affected communities due to inadequate language inclusivity. This project targets Sepedi, a low-resource language, to evaluate how well Large Language Moßßdels (LLMs) communicate culturally and contextually relevant rabies information.



### Human Validation Results



#### **METHODS**



#### **Dataset Creation:**

Created 60 rabies Q&A pairs in English, translated to Sepedi using Gemini, and refined by a Sepedi expert.

Model Fine-tuning:

Fine-tuned GPT-40 and Gemini-1.5 models using the refined dataset.

Testing and Validation:

Generated additional Sepedi questions; evaluated model outputs with expert human validation for fluency, accuracy, and contextual fit.

Visualisation & Deployment:

Built an interactive chatbot with Streamlit (Figure 2) to compare model outputs and demonstrate real-time engagement.

#### VISUALISATION

- Developed using Streamlit for fast prototyping and public deployment.
- Users can chat with the models in Sepedi and receive real-time answers (Figure 2).
- Includes side-by-side comparison of GPT-40 and Gemini outputs.
- Displays response time metrics to evaluate speed and usability (Figure 3).
- Word cloud summarises model vocabulary and thematic focus (Figure 4).

#### DISCUSSION

- LLMs show promise for inclusive, culturally aware health education.
- Careful dataset preparation is key for low-resource languages.
- Future work includes community validation, data expansion, and real-world deployment.



OpenAI - Base	OpenAI - Fine-Tuned
---------------	---------------------

#### *Figure 1*: Radar Plot of Human Validation Scores

## **Visualisation and Deployment**

Select First Model	Select Second Model
OpenAI - Base	OpenAI - Fine-Tuned
Enter your question:	
Ke dimpša fela di amegago mo bolwetšing bja bogafa bja dimpša?	
Compare Responses	
Response from OpenAI - Base	Response from OpenAI - Fine-Tuned
Aowa, bolwetši bja bogafa bja dimpša ga bo ame dimpša fela. Bo ka ama le diphoofolo tše dingwe tše bjalo ka dikgabo, mebutla, digata, le diphoofolo tša naga. Le batho ba ka fetelwa ke bolwetši bjo ge ba longwa ke phoofolo yeo e nago le bogafa. Ke ka lebaka	Aowa, le batho le diphoofolo tše dingwe bjalo ka dikatse le dikgomo di ka fetelwa ke bogafa.

#### *Figure 2*: Chatbot Interface: Side-by-Side LLM Comparison



#### RESULTS

- Both fine-tuned models—GPT-4o-2024-08-06 and Gemini-1.5-Flash-001—were able to generate Sepedi responses that were fluent, factually accurate, and culturally appropriate, as confirmed by external human validation (using criteria in Table 1).
- Fine-tuned models outperformed translation-based approaches in clarity and relevance.
- Responses remained consistent across paraphrased and unseen prompts.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

- Expand and diversify training data.
- Involve communities for broader validation.
- Add features like voice input, offline access, and feedback.

#### This pilot supports the use of AI to close language gaps in public health education.

Criterion	1 (Poor)	2 (Fair)	3 (Good)	4 (Very Good)	5 (Excellent)
Fluency / Grammar	Unnatural, many errors	Frequent grammar or phrasing issues	Understandable but some awkward parts	Smooth with minor issues	Native-like, fluent and natural
Factual Accuracy	Incorrect or misleading	Several factual errors	Mostly correct but minor inaccuracies	Almost entirely accurate	Completely accurate
<b>Relevance to Prompt</b>	Off-topic or unrelated	Partially addresses the question	Mostly relevant	Very relevant	Directly and completely answers the prompt
Clarity / Understandability	Confusing or incoherent	Difficult to follow	Understandable with effort	Clear and mostly easy to follow	Very clear and easily understood
Cultural & Contextual Appropriateness	Offensive or inappropriate	Culturally out of touch or awkward	Mostly appropriate	Suitable and respectful	Culturally aligned, respectful, and sensitive

**Table 1:** Human Validation Criteria

[	Detailed Metrics	s Comparison		d	
	Model	Token Length	Word Count	Character Count	Response Time (s)
0	OpenAl - Base	74	74	397	3.608
1	OpenAl - Fine-Tuned	17	17	92	1.236
١	Nord Clouds of	Responses			

*Figure 3*: Response Time Comparison of GPT-40 and Gemini Models

Word Clouds of Responses

Word Cloud - OpenAI - Base

tsa

Word Cloud - OpenAI - Fine-Tuned



*Figure 4*: WordClouds of LLMs Responses



UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

#### Department of Computer Science

Faculty of Engineering, **Built Environment and** Information Technology Fakulteit Ingenieurswese, Bou-omgewing en Inligtingtegnologie / Lefapha la Boetšenere, Tikologo ya Kago le Theknolotši ya Tshedimošo

Capstone Project - MIT 808

Course Coordinators: Dr. Vukosi Marivate (vukosi.marivate@cs.up.ac.za) Abiodun Modupe (abiodun.modupe@cs.up.ac.za)

